

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UPITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Inited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/991,964	11/26/2001	Franz-Josef Rubroder	02481.1671-01	1450	
22852 7	01/16/2002				
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT &			EXAMINER		
DUNNER LLI 1300 I STREE		CHERNYSHEV, OLGA N			
WASHINGTON, DC 20005					
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1646		
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	lication No.	·	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary				,	RUBRODER ET AL.			
			991,964	, ,	Art Unit			
			miner					
The	MAILING DATE of this commun		a N. Chernysh on the cover		1646 orrespondence address			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
	oonsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .						
		2b)⊠ This act	ion is non-fir	ıal.				
3)☐ Since	,							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.								
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)∏ The sp	ecification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) <b>□</b> The dr	awing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted o	r b)☐ objecte	d to by the Exa	miner.			
Appl	icant may not request that any obj	ection to the drav	ving(s) be held	l in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) D Notice of Dra	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	•	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/991,964

Art Unit: 1646

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of the claims

1. Claim 1 is pending in the instant application. Claim 1 is under examination in the instant office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flaa et al. (1996, WO 96/27661) and Mikura et al. (1985, EP 158487) and further in view of Ahmad et al. (1983, JAOCS, 60, 4, pp.837-840) and Santha et al. (1979, Indian J.Anim.Sci., 49, 1, pp.37-41).

Application/Control Number: 09/991,964

Art Unit: 1646

Claim 1 is directed to a process of a protein storage in an aqueous solution with addition of cysteine as a reducing agent. The process of addition of reducing agents to proteins and lipids for stabilization and storage purposes is known and well described in the art. Flaa et al. (1996, WO 96/27661) teach compositions for stabilizing proteins in aqueous solutions, which contain, among other ingredients, reducing agents (see the abstract and page 11, first paragraph). Flaa et al. (1996, WO 96/27661) did not expressly use cysteine as a reducing agent for the stabilization of proteins but used cysteine derivative NAC (N-acetyl-cysteine) (page 11, first line). Mikura et al. (1985, EP 158487) also teach storage of protein (interleukin-2) compositions, which contains a reducing compound (see the abstract and page 3, fifth paragraph). Mikura et al. (1985, EP 158487) did not expressly disclose cysteine as a reducing compound in particular but mentioned cysteine derivatives, such as N-acetylcysteine and N-acetylhomocysteine (page 3, fifth paragraph). Ahmad et al. describe a method of storage of vegetable oils in aqueous solution with antioxidant amino acids, cysteine among them provided the most activity (see the abstract, Figures 1, 2 and Table II). Also Santha et al. describe the stability of ghee (butter) depends on the presence of amino acids, such as cysteine with antioxidant properties (see the abstract and Table 2). Thus, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use cysteine as a reducing agent for the protein storage. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because, first, cysteine is a wellknown reducing agent, which derivatives are widely used as reducing agents, second, can be interchangeably used when a protocol calls for the use of a reducing agent, and, third, is well known to be used for the storage, stabilization and protection of lipid and non-lipid containing compositions.

Application/Control Number: 09/991,964

Art Unit: 1646

## **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-22 of U. S. Patent No. 6,339,061. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claim is generic and encompasses the subject matter of the patent claims in its entirety.

### Conclusion

5. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga N. Chernyshev whose telephone number is (703) 305-1003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 AM to 5 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/991,964

Art Unit: 1646

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0294 for regular communications and (703) 308-0294 for After Final communications.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)0. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4556 or (703) 308-4242. If either of these numbers is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternative number. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. Official papers should NOT be faxed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Olga N. Chernyshev, Ph.D.

January 12, 2002

JOHN ULM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800